

Appendix 2

Consultation on the Draft Statement of Gambling Policy



September 2006

Executive Summary

This report details the findings of the consultation on Leeds' Draft Statement of Gambling Policy as put out to public consultation between the 19th June 2006 and the 3rd September 2006. The consultation exercise used a standard consultation questionnaire which was made available at libraries and leisure centres throughout the district as well as on the council's website. A large mail shot was also undertaken to contact an extensive list of identified stakeholders such as gambling businesses in the area, national trade associations, responsible authorities, organisations concerned with the social impact of gambling, MPs, parish councils, and faith groups etc.

In total [100] items of correspondence were received related to the consultation (mostly consultation questionnaires returned by members of the public) and while this could be viewed as on the low side it does compare very favourably to the consultations undertaken by other local authorities.

In principal the questionnaire returns showed that the public were fairly happy with the tone and scope of the draft policy. A handful of replies took a sceptical view of the policy although further examination of the qualitative comments throughout the questionnaires showed a fairly negative view with regards to gambling generally and it appears such replies have been a means to vent this viewpoint.

The consultation process did however flag up some concerns regarding the suggested controls related to the protection of vulnerable persons. For example concerns were raised that that if vulnerable persons can not make informed or balanced decisions, the provision of guidance material about problem gambling on posters and websites would be ineffective. Also respondents offered suggestions as to other categories of persons that the authority should consider as potentially vulnerable such as the elderly, ethnic minorities, the families and the children of those who may have a gambling addiction, or people with learning disabilities.

Other recurring themes running through the consultation included concern about cash machines and the availability of credit on gambling premises while strong concerns were also raised about children being permitted to play any form of gaming machine whether low stake or otherwise. In this regard it is important to note that Leeds City Council has no power to restrict children playing Category D gaming machines, where the operator has the correct permit/licence, as this allowance is permitted by the Act.

Contents

Executive summary

1. Introduction
2. Background information
 - 2.1 The Gambling Act 2005
 - 2.2 The licensing objectives
 - 2.3 Purpose of the Statement of Gambling Policy
3. Purpose of the consultation
4. Consultation methodology
5. Breakdown of consultation replies
6. Questionnaire Analysis – prevention of crime and disorder licensing objective
 - 6.1 Statistical analysis
 - 6.2 Overall analysis
7. Questionnaire Analysis – ensuring gambling is conducted in a fair and open way
 - 7.1 Statistical analysis
 - 7.2 Overall analysis
8. Questionnaire Analysis – protecting children and the vulnerable from harm
 - 8.1 Statistical analysis
 - 8.2 Overall analysis
9. Questionnaire Analysis – open ended comments
10. Analysis of detailed written replies
 - 10.1 Trade replies
 - 10.2 Other replies
11. Conclusions
12. Recommendations

Appendices

Appendix 1 – Consultation questionnaire

Appendix 2 – Consultation poster

Appendix 3 – Sample of press adverts

Appendix 4 – About Leeds – news article

Appendix 5 – Statement of Gambling Policy Consultees List

1. Introduction

1.1 The Gambling Act 2005 (the Act) creates a new system of licensing and regulation for commercial gambling in Great Britain other than the National Lottery and spread betting. Within this new regime local councils are appointed as licensing authorities and are responsible for issuing premises licences to gambling premises such as casinos, bookmakers and amusement arcades. Under the Act each licensing authority must publish a Statement of Gambling Policy showing how it will exercise its functions under the Act. The Act also requires licensing authorities to consult certain statutory agencies and other interested parties before publishing a statement. This report contains the results of the consultation on Leeds' first draft statement of Gambling Policy. The consultation ran for a period of 11 weeks ending on the 3rd September 2006.

2. Background Information

2.1 The Gambling Act 2005

2.1.1 The Gambling Act 2005 completely overhauls the regulation of commercial gambling in Great Britain and gives effect to the governments proposals to reform and modernise the law on gambling. Within the new regime the Gambling Commission becomes the national gambling regulator taking over from the Gaming Board For Great Britain. From 2007 the commission will be responsible for granting operating and personal licences for commercial gambling operators and personnel working in the industry. The Act sets out different types of operating licence that cover the full range of commercial gambling activities conducted in Great Britain. It also makes provision for the Commission to have powers of entry and inspection to regulate gambling, with safeguards for those subject to the powers.

2.1.2 On the other side of this new licensing framework are licensing authorities that will have new powers to license gambling premises within their area, as well as undertaking functions in relation to lower stake gaming machines and clubs and miners' welfare institutes. The Act also provides for a new system of temporary use notices. These will authorise premises that are not licensed generally for gambling purposes to be used for certain types of gambling, for limited periods. This would for example allow a gambling operator to set up a temporary casino in a hotel.

2.1.3 One of the key control measures within this framework is that if an operator wishes to provide gambling at a certain premises they must first apply for the requisite operators licence and personal licences from the Gambling Commission before they can approach the council for a premises licence. In this way the Gambling Commission is able to screen applicants and organisations to ensure they have the correct credentials to operate gambling premises. Local authorities can only determine licensing applications once they are notified that the applicant has secured the necessary licences from the Gambling Commission.

2.2 The licensing objectives

2.2.1 The Act sets out three licensing objectives which underpin the Act:

- preventing gambling from being a source of crime or disorder, being associated with crime or disorder or being used to support crime
- ensuring that gambling is conducted in a fair and open way

- protecting children and other vulnerable persons from being harmed or exploited by gambling.

2.3 Purpose of the Statement of Gambling Policy

2.3.1 Under the Act each licensing authority must prepare a Statement of Gambling Policy which outlines how the authority will seek to promote the licensing objectives and on what basis the authority will arrive at its decision making. This allows gambling businesses to prepare applications in a manner which reflects the requirements of the policy and also helps the public to clarify how the authority is approaching its licensing duties. The gambling policy fulfils a similar role to that of the Statement of Licensing Policy. A policy must be published at least every three years however amendments can be made during this time as long as those elements which are being changed are consulted upon.

3. Purpose of the consultation

3.1 The consultation was designed to survey a wide selection of the Leeds population to clarify the general consensus among Leeds residents about the content and suggested control measures detailed in the draft policy. In addition similar thoughts were sought from the responsible authorities as well as a list of identified stakeholders such as organisations concerned with the social impact of gambling, faith groups, national bodies representing the gambling trade, plus representatives of local businesses.

3.2 The use of a structured consultation questionnaire broken down to cover each of the licensing objectives was an attempt to focus consultee responses so that any redrafting of the policy could be done in an effective manner. The questionnaire and mail drops also encouraged any general comments on both the Gambling Act and gambling in general to allow people to express themselves as freely as possible. Attached at Appendix 1 to this report is a copy of the consultation questionnaire.

4. Consultation methodology

4.1 The following activities were undertaken to support the consultation exercise:

- The consultation began on Monday the 19th June. The draft policy and consultation questionnaire were loaded onto a specially prepared consultation webpage on the internet and a news items was arranged to appear on the homepage on the first day of the consultation. This news item remained on the homepage for the first two weeks of the consultation and was also reposted to the website for the latter stages of the consultation.
- An electronic version of the questionnaire which could be filled in and submitted online was also used.
- two versions of a full colour poster were sent, (along with copies of the consultation documents) to all libraries, one stop centres and leisure centres in the district. (see Appendix 2)
- Members were sent a copy of the policy along with the questionnaire, a short briefing letter as well as a copy of the report which was sent to the Licensing Committee on the 6th June regarding the new Act.
- A mailshot was sent out to an extensive list of identified stakeholders. This included existing licence holders, national trade associations, responsible authorities, organisations concerned with the social impact of gambling, MPs, parish councils, and faith groups to name just a few. (see Appendix 5)

- A full colour advert appeared in the Yorkshire Evening Post on the 22/06/06 (page 26) advertising the consultation. (the colours matched the colours used in the consultation posters to try and give some form of synergy) The same advert also appeared in the Leeds Weekly News on 6/07/06 (page 4). (see Appendix 3)
- A press release was issued by the press office advertising the consultation on the 23/06/06.
- A mail drop was sent out to all registered clubs in Leeds (217) informing them about the changes to the licensing regime for gaming machines and pre-warning them about the new limit of £250 jackpot machines. The letter also alerted clubs to the consultation and enclosed a consultation questionnaire.
- In addition the Summer edition of the 'About Leeds' Newspaper carried a brief news article advertising the consultation. The newspaper was delivered to all households in Leeds between 5th and 16th June. (See Appendix 4)

5. Breakdown of consultation replies

5.1 In general there was a reasonable take-up of the consultation process. In total 112 individual items of correspondence were received in relation to the consultation. The breakdown of this correspondence can be seen in Table 2. Further detail on the different types of questionnaire returns can be seen in Table 3. Although this may seem on the low side research shows that this response rate is typical of that experienced across other local authorities during their consultations and in some cases is higher. Please find below a summary of the outcomes of other local authority consultations as at 8th September 2006. (Table 1)

Authority	Consultation period	Consultation response as at 8th September
Eastbourne Borough Council	9 June 2006 to 9 September 2006.	Circa 10 responses, 6 from organisations linked to the gambling trade, 2 public.
Basildon District Council	1 st July 2006 to 30 th September 2006	45 online questionnaire replies, 30 solicitors/trade replies in writing, 2 replies from faith groups.
Nottingham City Council	24 th July – 15 th October	4 trade responses received.
Birmingham City Council	June – Mid September. End date TBC	No public responses received as at 8/9/06 . Hoping to gather public responses by way of one of its public forum meetings prior to consultation close date.

Table 1 – Summary of other local authorities gambling policy consultations

5.2 Breakdown of correspondence

Type	Number	%
Detailed written responses	8	7
Email responses	1	1
Questionnaires	103	92
Total	112	100

Table 2 - Breakdown of correspondence received

Type	Number	%
Paper questionnaires (received from public)	31	30
Paper questionnaires (received from organisations/businesses/Cllrs etc)	58	56
Electronic questionnaires (received from public)	11	11
Electronic questionnaires (received from organisations/businesses /Cllrs etc)	3	3
Total	103	100

Table 3 – Detailed breakdown of questionnaire returns

6. Questionnaire Analysis – Prevention of crime and disorder licensing objective

Question 1 of the questionnaire related to the licensing objective of ‘preventing gambling from being a source of crime or disorder, being associated with crime or disorder or being used to support crime’. Consultees were firstly offered a brief overview of the steps proposed in the draft policy to promote this area. In summary the questionnaire explained that the licensing authority would assess each application on its merits paying particular attention to the location of the premises and the scale of the activities proposed and the authority would work closely with the police under an agreed enforcement protocol to ensure appropriate licensing conditions were considered for high risk premises. Consultees were then asked if they felt the draft policy did enough to promote this area and were given three options, ‘too little’, ‘about right’ or ‘too much’. Those respondents that choose to answer ‘too little’ or ‘too much’ were then asked to specify what changes they would like the licensing authority to consider.

6.1 Statistical analysis

	Too little	About right	Too much	Total
Question 1 - Does the policy do enough to promote the crime prevention licensing objective?				
	20	76	5	101
%	19.8	75.2	5.0	100

Table 4 – Statistical analysis of Question 1.




Figure 1 – Bar graph showing the statistical breakdown of responses to Question 1 of the consultation questionnaire.




Figure 2 – Pie chart showing the statistical breakdown of responses to Question 1 of the consultation questionnaire.

6.2 Overall analysis

6.2.1 Generally the consultation responses showed that most parties were happy with the tone and content of the draft policy with regards to the crime prevention licensing objective with 75% of consultees stating the suggested measures were 'about right'. 20% of responses did however suggest the policy was lacking in this area. Suggested comments for the way in which this area could be strengthened generally focused on stricter regulation, proactive licensing visits and the withdrawal of licences where operators breach their licensing conditions.

7. Questionnaire Analysis – ensuring gambling is conducted in a fair and open way

Question 2 of the questionnaire related to the licensing objective of ‘ensuring gambling is conducted in a fair and open way’. Consultees were firstly offered a brief overview of the steps proposed in the draft policy to promote this area. In summary the questionnaire explained that the Gambling Commission would be the lead agency for this area but the licensing authority would in any case support the Commission by undertaking checks on signage about game rules on licensed premises. Consultees were then asked if they felt the draft policy did enough to promote this area and were given three options, ‘too little’, ‘about right’ or ‘too much’. Those respondents that choose to answer ‘too little’ or ‘too much’ were then asked to specify what changes they would like the licensing authority to consider.

7.1 Statistical analysis

	Too little	About right	Too much	Total
Question 2 - Does the policy do enough to ensure gambling is conducted in a fair and open way?	20	75	2	97
%	20.6	77.3	2.1	100

Table 5 – Statistical analysis of Question 2.




Figure 3 – Bar graph showing the statistical breakdown of responses to Question 2 of the consultation questionnaire.




Figure 4 – Pie chart showing the statistical breakdown of responses to Question 2 of the consultation questionnaire.

7.2 Overall analysis

7.2.1 There was strong support from consultees for the approach taken to this area in the draft policy with 77% of respondents indicating the tone of the policy was 'about right'. There was a handful of replies that indicated the draft policy did not do enough to promote this area however very few qualitative suggestions were made as to how the policy could be improved in this respect. The response to this question would indicate that people recognise that the promotion of this licensing objective will be a key objective for the Gambling Commission and that any assistance the council is able to provide will be an added benefit.

8. Questionnaire Analysis – protecting children and the vulnerable from harm

To gather responses regarding this licensing objective the questionnaire posed five separate questions reflecting the fact that this will be a key area of responsibility for the licensing authority:

- Question 3a summarised the general control measures suggested in the policy to protect children and the vulnerable such as proof of age schemes, CCTV and other provisions around notices and signage. The question then asked if the respondent felt the draft policy did enough to promote this area and gave three options, 'too little', 'about right' or 'too much'.
- Question 3b looked at the proposed control measures regarding gaming machines with special reference to those premises that are able to admit children, but can also provide both category C and D gaming machines. Respondents were asked to state whether they felt the proposed policy was 'too restrictive', 'about right' or 'not restrictive enough'.
- Question 3c explained the special approach suggested in the policy related to location issues and the application checks the licensing authority will do to ascertain proximity to schools or vulnerable adult centres upon receipt of relevant representations. The question asked respondents whether they felt the policy was required.
- Question 3d looked at the control measures suggested in the policy relating to vulnerable persons including explaining who the authority would view as vulnerable. The question summarised the general approach which would be to post information about problem gambling on the council website as well as undertaking checks on gambling premises to ensure any necessary information regarding responsible gambling is on display. Respondents were asked to state whether they felt the proposed policy was 'too restrictive', 'about right' or 'not restrictive enough'.
- Question 3e asked consultees to state whether they felt there were any other category of persons who we should consider as vulnerable.

8.1 Statistical analysis

8.1.1 Statistical breakdown of Question 3a.

	Too little	About right	Too much	Total
Question 3a - Does the policy do enough to protect children and the vulnerable from harm?	24	72	3	99
%	24.2	72.7	3.0	100.0

Table 6 – Statistical analysis of Question 3a




Figure 5 – Bar graph showing the statistical breakdown of responses to Question 3a of the consultation questionnaire.




Figure 6– Pie chart showing the statistical breakdown of responses to Question 3a of the consultation questionnaire.

8.1.2 Statistical breakdown of Question 3b.

	Too restrictive	About right	Not restrictive enough	Total
Question 3b - What are your thoughts on our suggested approach regarding gaming machines?				
	1	73	23	97
%	1.0	75.3	23.7	100

Table 7 – Statistical analysis of Question 3b.




Figure 7 – Bar graph showing the statistical breakdown of responses to Question 3b of the consultation questionnaire.




Figure 8 – Pie chart showing the statistical breakdown of responses to Question 3b of the consultation questionnaire.

8.1.3 Statistical breakdown of Question 3c.

	Yes	No	Not sure	Total
Question 3c - Do you think the suggested policy related to location issues is needed?				
	79	7	10	96
%	82.3	7.3	10.4	100

Table 8 – Statistical analysis of Question 3c.




Figure 9 – Bar graph showing the statistical breakdown of responses to Question 3c of the consultation questionnaire.




Figure 10 – Pie chart showing the statistical breakdown of responses to Question 3c of the consultation questionnaire.

8.1.4 Statistical breakdown of Question 3d

	Too restrictive	About right	Not restrictive enough	Total
Question 3d - What are your thoughts on our suggested approach regarding assistance to vulnerable persons?				
	5	63	29	97
%	5.2	64.9	29.9	100

Table 9 – Statistical analysis of Question 3d.




Figure 11 – Bar graph showing the statistical breakdown of responses to Question 3d of the consultation questionnaire.




Figure 12 – Pie chart showing the statistical breakdown of responses to Question 3d of the consultation questionnaire.

8.1.5 Statistical breakdown of Question 3e

	Yes	No	Not sure	Total
Question 3e - Are there any other categories of person(s) who you feel we should mention in the policy who fall under the category of vulnerable?				
	16	49	26	91
%	17.6	53.8	28.6	100

Table 10 – Statistical analysis of Question 3e.




Figure 13 – Bar graph showing the statistical breakdown of responses to Question 3e of the consultation questionnaire.




Figure 14 – Pie chart showing the statistical breakdown of responses to Question 3e of the consultation questionnaire.

8.2 Overall analysis

8.2.1 As you will see from the statistical analysis shown in the charts and tables above there was broad support for the approach taken to the protection of children and vulnerable persons in the draft policy. Where there was concern about the content of the policy, these responses usually centred around the policy not being restrictive enough. More detailed commentary is provided below for each of the five questions related to the protection of children and vulnerable persons licensing objective.

8.2.2 Question 3a showed strong support for the overall tone and content of the approach suggested in the policy related to the protection of children and vulnerable persons with 73% of respondents stating the policy was 'about right'. 24% of respondents did however feel the policy was lacking in some respects. Many respondents offering this view point went on to suggest possible control measures and repeated themes included the following:

- Reduced access to easy cash/credit once on gambling premises
- Positive/proactive approach from staff on gambling premises to try to identify and if necessary offer support to compulsive gamblers (one respondent suggested members of staff should be offered training in the understanding of addiction)
- Other respondents suggested children should not be allowed onto any type of gambling premises and also new gambling premises should not be situated near schools.

8.2.3 Question 3b demonstrated strong support for the suggested approach in the draft policy concerning gaming machines with 75% of the 97 consultees agreeing the suggested policy was 'about right'. Dissenting views often disagreed with the approach owing to the fact that they felt children should not be allowed to play any gaming machines at all and that to allow children to play low stake machines would inevitably be a pull towards the higher stake machines. One respondent suggested that higher stake machine centres should require membership schemes to prevent low income occasional gamblers from gaining access. Another strong theme was a call for any premises providing category C or higher machines to be adult only venues. One respondent suggested that "unlicensed Family Entertainment Centre gaming machines could be operated by tokens bought on site from a responsible human vendor. This would exercise control on use."

8.2.4 Question 3c asked respondents to consider the proposal in the draft policy around location issues. Again there was broad support for the suggested policy with 82% of consultees agreeing the policy was necessary. The remainder were closely split between a feeling that the policy was 'not necessary' or they were 'not sure'. Of those respondents that indicated the policy was not necessary a handful went on to state that the policy was 'heavy handed' or that if the other control measures in the policy were rigorously implemented then this approach would be superfluous.

8.2.5 The purpose of Question 3d was to ascertain whether the proposed measures in the draft policy related to support for vulnerable persons were adequate. The responses to this question showed mixed support for the proposals. 65% of consultees thought the proposals were 'about right' however a definite level of concern was raised from 30% of respondents who felt the approach was 'not restrictive enough'. A repeated theme which emerged was concern about how you determine who is a vulnerable person. There was general consensus that this may be achievable when the person is under the influence of alcohol or drugs but concern that other vulnerable persons

would be difficult to detect. Another repeated theme centred on concern that the proposals in the draft policy to make support information available on the council website would be ineffective for those with a mental impairment or persons affected by drugs and alcohol. One respondent noted that if vulnerable persons can not make informed or balanced decisions, the provision of guidance material about problem gambling on posters and websites would be useless.

8.2.6 The final question in the questionnaire sought to establish if respondents felt the policy should seek to classify any other categories of person or persons as vulnerable or if the definition used in the policy was adequate. Again support for the definition in the policy was mixed with 54% stating the parameters were adequate while 18% thought other categories should be considered and the remaining 29% of consultees were 'not sure'. Categories of persons who were suggested could be classed as vulnerable included the elderly, ethnic minorities, the families and the children of those who may have a gambling addiction, people with learning disabilities and the disabled.

9. Questionnaire Analysis – open ended comments

9.1.1 The questionnaire included a final question which allowed people to express any further open ended comments that they wished to make. Analysis of these comments revealed some respondents deeply held views regarding gambling (in both a positive and negative manner) which although not related to the licensing objectives deserve mention in this section of the report.

9.1.2 A handful of replies demonstrated a highly sceptical view of the policy although further examination of the qualitative comments throughout the questionnaires showed a fairly negative view with regards to gambling generally and it appears such replies were used as a means to vent this viewpoint. One respondent was clearly experiencing problems in her own family as a result of problem gambling explaining that her daughters marriage was breaking up as a result of gambling in casinos. Another respondent stated they had strong concerns about the problems associated with internet gambling. A handful of replies stated they would not support any new gambling establishments of any kind in Leeds and misinterpreted the draft policy as Leeds' willingness to licence. Two respondents expressed deeply held reservations about Leeds bid for a new style large or regional casino.

9.1.3 Other questionnaire replies offered a view contrary to the above reflecting that gambling is a legitimate pastime that should be treated no differently to the sale and consumption of alcohol. Indeed those supporting this view point felt that shielding gambling from the public view could cause more damage than good. One respondent stated thus, "By all means regulate it, but don't treat it as something that although legal is actually a bit shady/dubious. It is either ok or it is not. The world is full of risks and children and other vulnerable people are not best protected by being over protected and shielded from these dangers." Other replies reflected that there is a certain inevitability about the proliferation of gambling and it is best regulated rather than forced under ground.

10. Analysis of detailed written replies

10.1 Trade replies

1) The Race Course Association (RCA) – (2 page reply received 19 July 2006)

- The RCA asked for a flexible approach with regards to the policy statement given that specific guidelines for tracks have not yet been released.
- The RCA are concerned that local authorities may require delineation between areas covered by different betting premises licences and state that this may not be possible or practical on tracks. They argue that such delineation will not be necessary if the areas are covered by the racecourses betting premises licence.
- Conditions – The RCA note that council's may apply conditions to racecourse premises licences to try and create a suitable betting environment, given that operators may not be required to hold an operators licence. The RCA ask that these conditions do not exceed those conditions as are to be outlined by the DCMS.
- Also the RCA expressed concern that the provisional statement regime may not work for tracks, where areas of the premises may be developed or altered while other areas of the premises remain in operation. The RCA are addressing these concerns with the DCMS.

2) RAL Limited (Own a chain of 185 'Quicksilver' adult gaming centres and also have online gaming interests – 4 page reply received 19 July 2006)

- Door supervisors – RAL limited expressed deep concern at any suggestion that a condition should be imposed on adult gaming centre premises licences requiring door supervisors especially as a mandatory condition. A 4 page reply repeated this rhetoric throughout:
 - Various lines of argument, namely AGCs are currently adult only environments and the new Act continues this provision,
 - to impose door supervisors on the grounds of crime and disorder would be disproportionate given the tenuous link between AGCs and any crime or disorder,
 - AGCs are currently well managed premises who take their social responsibility duties with regards to children and vulnerable persons very seriously. To impose door supervisors would be unduly costly, onerous and burdensome on businesses that have already demonstrated their ability to uphold the licensing objectives.

3) British Beer & Pub Association (BBPA) (3 page reply received 18th August 2006)

- The BBPA emphasised in its letter that public houses have operated amusement with prize machines on licensed premises for many years and the BBPA have worked closely with licensees to draw up and implement an effective code of practice for its members to ensure effective management of the machines and to ensure minimum age requirements are complied with.
- The BBPA will support the code of practice which will be issued by the Gambling Commission to replace its code of practice and reiterate that there is no reason why permits for additional machines should not be granted on alcohol licensed premises. The BBPA comments that it may be useful for policy statements to reflect this.

- The letter goes onto suggest that it may be useful for BBPA members if policy statements include some guidance on the transitional arrangements regarding gaming permits for alcohol licensed premises as well as an outline of the procedure to apply for more than two permits.
- 4) The Association of British Bookmakers (ABB) – (4 page reply received 1 September 2006)
- The ABB offered a brief overview of the role of the association stating it is the representative association for businesses holding almost 7,000 betting office licences.
 - The ABB called for a light touch approach under the new Act given the effective self regulation that the industry has employed to date.
 - The ABB pressed for any conditions regarding door supervisors to only be used in exceptional cases where the particular circumstances of the case make it both necessary and proportionate. The ABB argued that historically door supervision has not been necessary at bookmakers.
 - The ABB sought to highlight the difference between fixed odds betting terminals and betting machines explaining that betting terminals are basically automated counter positions to place bets on real world events. The ABB would like to see the provisions in the Act regarding the power to limit the number or position of these terminals to be used carefully given that there is no evidence that such machines give rise to regulatory concerns.
 - The ABB asked for the authority to look favourably upon re-site applications. These are applications to move a bookmakers from one premises in an area to another premises where the principle aim of the move is to enhance customer facilities. The ABB request that the policy positively encourages or atleast gives sympathetic consideration to resites or extensions within the same locality in order to enhance the quality of the facility provided for the benefit of the betting public.
 - The ABB expressed some concern at the draft policy's statement regarding location issues.
- 5) Roger Etchells (RE) – (Chartered surveyors acting on behalf of Teddy Clark Limited and Riviera Leisure Limited – Amusement arcade operators) – (2 page reply received on 30th August 2006)
- Concern was raised in the reply that the draft policy did not include information on representations and just indicated that a separate guidance note would be issued. Roger Etchells argued that such guidance should be in the policy so it would be the subject of a thorough consultation.
 - RE argued that the final sentence of para 13.11 of the draft statement amounted to duplication of planning policy and was at odds with the first sentence of the paragraph. RE asked for it to be removed.
 - RE also raised concerns with regards to para 14 of the draft statement stating that to mention areas that might be made the subject of conditions was to create a presumption that conditions would be imposed in respect of those matters effectively creating a 'pool of conditions' contrary to the guidance.
 - The letter also sought to highlight that gambling premises of the sort envisaged under the new legislation have been operating successfully without conditions for almost 40 years

6) Montpelier Estates (Property investment and development company with financial interests in City 1 site which has outline planning permission for a new casino.) – (detailed questionnaire return with accompanying notes received 1st September 2006)

- Montpelier estates indicated that the draft policy did not include sufficient control measures to promote the crime prevention licensing objective. They suggested that a steering group should be established under the banner of the Leeds Initiative which could have an advisory role reporting to the council. The steering group would have regular contact with parties including gaming operators, key community groups and the public. This would enable the council, in the form of the steering group, to have an ongoing arms length influence on the industry and facilitate the assessment of the attitudes and policies of gaming operators towards preventing gambling becoming a source of crime and disorder. The steering group together with the police should be involved in the design and build stage of new gaming premises, particularly for casinos under the new act to ensure that the opportunities for crime and disorder are minimised from the outset.
- Montpelier estates also suggested measures to help bolster the policy in order to help children and vulnerable persons suggesting that operators of gaming establishments should be required to help fund education programmes and PR campaigns aimed at vulnerable members of the community. There could be varying degrees of involvement depending on turnover of the establishment and programmes could range from simple leafleting at the front desk of gaming venues through to organised educational schemes whereby disadvantaged children could be taught maths together with the potential hazards of gambling by means of learning about odds, multiplication, fractions, etc.
- In terms of gaming machines Montpelier estates felt the policy required more stringent policies to be drawn up, for example Category C machines should not be allowed in the same room as category D machines and the access point between the two rooms should be supervised to ensure children can not gain access to restricted areas. The reply highlighted concern that superficial measures such as a coloured lines on the floor or rope barriers to delineate two gaming areas where children can see the higher stake machines beyond the barrier would only serve as an enticement.
- Finally Montpelier Estates sought to highlight the advantages of locating any new casino developments in the city centre making the case that locating the casino in such an area would give the greatest chance of long term success for the casino, the entertainment complex and the city. They stated it would allow the most cost effective policing and monitoring, combined with an integrated design process which would minimise the detrimental effects of any such development.

7) British Casino Association (The BCA is the leading trade association representing over 90% of Britain's Licensed Casinos.) (2 page reply received 5th September 2006)

- The BCA sought to make some general observations about the casino industry through its consultation reply.
- The BCA stated that as the operation of casinos has been highly regulated over the past 40 years, the introduction of the 'new' legislation will not impact on the high level of integrity with which these premises have historically operated.

- The final point the BCA wished to make related to licence conditions and to draw specific attention to para 9.29 of the Gambling Commission's guidance to Licensing Authorities which identifies matters which cannot be the subject of conditions.

10.2 Other replies

8) GamCare (National association for gambling care educational resources and training) – (2 page reply received 31 July 2006)

- GamCare noted in its letter that they have been approached by nearly all licensing authorities for comments on draft gambling policies and while they have found various differences in all there are not too many discrepancies for concern.
- Gamcare explained that they are a gambling neutral charity that recognise that gaming can be a very entertaining form of relaxation.
- The letter asked the council to recognise that under the new Act it has a 'duty of care' to become corporate parents assisting residents of the authority with gambling addictions.
- The reply also included a summary document containing a list of inclusions, which form GamCare's viewpoint of what should be included in either the policy or as licensing conditions, these are as follows:
 - Leaflets offering assistance to problem gamblers should be available on gambling premises in a location that is both prominent and discreet such as toilets.
 - Self exclusion forms should be available
 - Operators should have regard to best practice issued by organisations that represent the interests of vulnerable people
 - Fixed Odds Betting Terminals (FOBTs) should clearly display odds
 - All ATMs or cash terminals should be separate from gaming machines so that clients will have to leave the machine area to acquire funds if so required. The ATMs should also display stickers with GamCare Helpline positioned prominently on the machine
 - Casinos should be obliged to provide compulsory non-gambling areas or 'chill out rooms'.
 - Posters should be displayed on gambling premises suggesting 'stay in control of your gambling' with details of GamCare telephone number and website.

11. Conclusions

- Generally the consultation process indicated broad support for the policies and proposals suggested in the draft policy.
- Some anti-gambling views were raised, such as concern about children being able to play low stake machines. It is important to note that there is nothing in the Act which allows Leeds City Council to prevent children from using category D gaming machines.
- Concern was raised within the questionnaire returns and also highlighted in GamCare's written reply about the provision of ATM machines on gambling premises with the consensus being that access to easy credit could be problematic. It should be noted that the Act does allow ATMs to be sited on certain gambling premises subject to certain provisions related to the financial arrangements between the gambling operator and the service provider and it is likely that the licensing authority will have some enforcement duties in this area. Please see recommendation 12.2.
- One repeated theme which ran through the consultation was concern that control measures in respect of vulnerable persons are weak and the policy should be reviewed to include more groups and that access to support information should be more widespread.
- Generally the trade responses sought to highlight their belief that the industry is well controlled and has operated without any serious problems for many years. For this reason the trade argue that conditions should not be imposed on a mandatory basis and in the exceptional cases where conditions are required, conditions should be tailored to the particular application in question. In particular strong concern was raised at the possibility that conditions related to door supervisors might be imposed on premises that have not historically been required to provide them such as amusement arcades and bookmakers. The trade argued that such conditions could be expensive, disproportionate and burdensome. In this regard it is important to note that the government will in due course publish a set of mandatory and default conditions. Mandatory conditions will, as the name suggests, be applied automatically depending on the particular premises licence class being dealt with. In addition the council will retain the discretion to impose/alter and or modify default conditions in line with the principles of the Act assessing each individual case on its merits. At the present time draft proposals regarding conditions are currently being consulted on including conditions relating to door supervisors and ATMs. Please see recommendation 12.2.

12. Recommendations

- 12.1 The consultation process highlighted a number of legitimate and very useful comments which should be considered during a thorough redrafting process, particularly around the protection of children and vulnerable persons licensing objective.
- 12.2 It may be useful to undertake further research into the powers available to Licensing Authorities with regards to ATMs/cash machines on licensed premises before redrafting the policy. In addition a detailed analysis should be undertaken of the current proposals related to mandatory and default conditions so the draft policy can, if required, be updated accordingly.
- 12.3 Given the number of responses which highlighted anti gambling sentiments and misinterpreted the purpose of the draft policy it may be worth while revisiting the title of the document. In its current form the 'Statement of Gambling Policy' fails to address the underlying purpose of the document which is to outline the principles for

the licensing of gambling premises, and a more appropriate title could be 'Statement of Gambling Licensing Policy'.

Appendix 1

Consultation questionnaire

Section 1

1) Preventing gambling from being a source of crime or disorder, being associated with crime or disorder or being used to support crime.

The Gambling Commission will take the lead role in keeping gambling crime free. Our draft policy outlines how we will try and promote this area with regard to the actual premises. The policy states we will assess each application on its merits with specific regard to the location of the premises and the scale of the proposed activities. It states we will establish a local enforcement protocol with West Yorkshire Police and work closely with the police to ensure high risk premises or premises within areas with considerable crime or disorder are targeted for suitable licence conditions such as door supervision. The council will not issue any premises licences to businesses that have not already acquired the necessary personal and operators licences from the Gambling Commission.

1) Do you feel the policy does enough to promote this area?

too little about right too much

If you answered 'too little' or 'too much' what changes would you like us to consider?

2) Ensuring gambling is conducted in a fair and open way

The Gambling Commission suggests that local authorities should not become concerned with ensuring that gambling is conducted in a fair and open way. The policy does however contain an undertaking to communicate any concerns about fairness and openness to the Gambling Commission for example if we become aware that signage about game rules is absent at a particular premises.

2) Do you feel the policy does enough to promote this area?

too little about right too much

If you answered 'too little' or 'too much' what changes would you like us to consider?

3) Protecting children and the vulnerable from harm

3a) In our draft policy we say how we will protect children and vulnerable people from being harmed or exploited by gambling. In terms of children we will do this by considering attaching conditions to premises licences such as proof of age schemes, CCTV, door supervisors, supervision of entrances / machine areas, physical separation of areas, notices / signage etc. We also state that we shall pay particular attention to those premises that provide automated betting machines and consider special conditions relating to the number of such machines and their position on the premises.

3a) Do you feel the policy does enough to promote this area?

too little about right too much

If you answered 'too little' or 'too much' what changes would you like us to consider?

3b) The draft policy includes information about the different categories of gaming machine which will operate under the act in terms of the maximum stake and maximum prize. The policy indicates that we will expect operators to carefully consider how their choice of machines will impact upon the 'protection of children and vulnerable persons' licensing objective and that we may seek to impose special conditions on any premises licence where higher stake machines are offered. We will pay particular attention to premises such as bingo halls and licensed family entertainment centres where children are permitted to play low stake category D gaming machines but are not allowed to enter the area where higher stake category C machines are placed.

3b) Do you feel this approach is...?

too restrictive about right not restrictive enough

If you answered 'too restrictive' or 'not restrictive enough' what changes would you like us to consider?

3) Protecting children and the vulnerable from harm continued...

3c) The draft policy includes a special approach to location issues aimed at addressing the protection of children and vulnerable persons. The policy states that upon receipt of any relevant representations about a premises licence application the council will investigate issues such as:

- the proximity of the premises to schools and vulnerable adult centres
- the proximity of the premises to residential areas where there may be a high concentration of families with children
- the size of the premises and the nature of the activities taking place

The policy goes on to state that such information may be used to inform the decision the council makes about whether to grant the licence, to grant the licence with special conditions or to refuse the application.

3c) Do you feel this approach is needed?

yes no not sure

If you answered 'no' what changes would you like us to consider?

3d) The draft policy does not include a definition of a vulnerable person but does state that it will include people who gamble more than they want to, people who gamble beyond their means, and people who may not be able to make informed or balanced decisions about gambling due to mental impairment, such as alcohol or drugs. The policy states that the council will promote this objective by posting information on the council website about the support services available for problem gamblers and will undertake checks on gambling premises to ensure any necessary information regarding responsible gambling is on display.

3d) Do you feel this approach is...?

too restrictive about right not restrictive enough

If you answered 'too restrictive' or 'not restrictive enough' what changes would you like us to consider?

3e) Are there any other categories of person(s) who you feel we should mention in the policy who fall under the category of vulnerable?

yes no not sure

If you answered yes who else would you like us to consider?

Section 2

Please add any other comments on the draft policy or the new Gambling Act.

About you

For the purpose of making sure we get an effective sample:

Where did you see a copy of the draft policy?

Town Hall Civic Hall Library
One Stop Centre On the internet
Other Please state: _____

Please indicate if you are responding as?

Member of the public Community group representative
Gambling business Other business owner
Trade Association City, Town or Parish Councillor
Other Please state: _____

OPTIONAL INFORMATION

Name: _____ Gender: _____ Age: _____
Organisation (if applicable): _____
Address: _____
Postcode: _____

Thank you for completing this questionnaire.

Please return to Entertainment Licensing, Civic Hall, Leeds, LS1 1UR.
(using the pre paid envelope attached)

By Sunday the 3rd September 2006

T: 0113 247 4095 F: 0113 224 3885

An electronic version of this form plus the draft policy is available on:

www.leeds.gov.uk/licensing

Appendix 2

Consultation poster



Gambling Laws are changing...

**Leeds City Council will soon licence all
bookmakers, casinos, amusement
arcades and bingo halls.....**

**Please read our Draft Gambling Policy and see
how we plan to keep gambling crime free,
protect children, and the vulnerable
and ensure gambling is provided in a fair
and open way.**

**You can give us feedback on the policy by
filling out a consultation questionnaire.
The policy and questionnaire can be
accessed on our website:**

www.leeds.gov.uk/licensing

or please call: 0113 247 4095

Final date for replies: 3rd September 2006



Appendix 3

Sample of press adverts

20 YORKSHIRE EVENING POST THURSDAY 22 JUNE 2006

A new look at the old days ...every Thursday in the

Living... Retrospective

Jayne Dawson reports on a month when a hoaxer changed the direction of a murder inquiry and a Hollywood legend lost his final fight

Fata

DATELINE: JUNE 1979

THE BIG STORY...

POLICE hunting the Yorkshire Ripper believed they had had a sensational breakthrough when they received a tape allegedly from the brutal killer.

The man in charge of the murder hunt, Assistant Chief Constable **George Oldfield**, said he was convinced the tape – on which a man taunted the police in a north-east accent for their lack of success, plus three letters he had received earlier – were all from the killer.

The police decided to make the tape public and played it at a press conference the following week, after which switchboards were

jammed with callers ringing in to say they recognised the chilling voice.

Pop impresario **Jonathan King** came up with a scheme to make the tape into a record and sell copies to the public but changed his mind in the face of massive criticism.

However, once the true killer **Peter Sutcliffe** was arrested, it became clear the tape and letters had been a hoax.

His identity remained a mystery but eventually breakthroughs in forensic science meant that this year **John Humble**, of Sunderland, was jailed for eight years for perverting the course of justice.



THE HEADLINES...

● Former Liberal Party leader **Jeremy Thorpe** was cleared on June 22 of the attempted murder of male model **Norman Scott** after a trial lasting 31 days. Mr Thorpe and three other men had been charged with conspiracy to murder after a bungled assassination attempt on Mr Scott on a deserted moor in Southern England. All were found not guilty.

Mr Thorpe, who resigned as leader in 1976 amid allegations that he had had a homosexual affair with Mr Scott, hailed his acquittal as "a complete vindication". It took the jury 15 hours of deliberation spread over three days to reach its verdict.

Mr Thorpe said he would be taking "a short period of rest" away from the glare of publicity after the case but never resumed a high-profile political career.

● The father of Leeds nurse **Helen Smith**, who died at a party in Saudi Arabia said he believed his daughter had been murdered, despite official reports saying her death was accidental when she fell from a balcony. Former policeman Mr Smith, of Guiseley, Leeds, said he believed his daughter, who was working in Saudi, had become involved in a permissive society.

● Yorkshire-born sculptor **Henry Moore** announced he was to give Leeds, the city where he trained, £2m worth of his most important works. They were to be displayed in a Henry Moore Sculpture Gallery to be created on the Headrow, Leeds. He was also donating £100,000 to

TH

● M
wel
Cor
● R

set u
for y

● Th
Geof
per c
sque
also

by 11
to st
rose,
the t

● A

thre
of a
told
foll
like
the v
cour
gaz-
used

TH

● Le
Heal
Hon
Call

● Jo
who



Gambling Laws are changing...

Leeds City Council will soon licence all bookmakers, casinos, amusement arcades and bingo halls.....

Please read our Draft Gambling Policy and see how we plan to keep gambling crime free, protect children, and the vulnerable and ensure gambling is provided in a fair and open way.

You can give us feedback on the policy by filling out a consultation questionnaire. The policy and questionnaire can be accessed on our website:

www.leeds.gov.uk/licensing

or please call: 0113 247 4095.

Final date for replies:
3rd Sept 2006

Appendix 4

About Leeds – news article

Businessnews

Workers benefit from city's building boom



Reaching new heights

THE towering Bridgewater Place is nearing its iconic conclusion.

Reaching a 105 metre peak, the Water Lane landmark dominates Leeds' skyline.

It offers 230,000 sq ft of office accommodation – the equivalent of five football pitches – with half pre-let to law firm Eversheds before the offices are ready for fit out in late summer.

That's on top of 200 apartments, plus 10,000 sq ft of retail and leisure space and underground

parking for 400 vehicles.

Landmark Development Projects and St James Securities are the joint developers, while Rob Forrester is project director.

"This scheme will radically transform the city skyline and, like all such iconic buildings, will reap well-deserved international acclaim and project a positive image of economic wealth and status," said Chris Gilman, a Director at Landmark Development Projects.

A NEW partnership, Construction Leeds, has been set up by the Leeds Initiative to help more people in Leeds to benefit from the massive £5billion planned investment in construction projects over the next five to ten years.

The new scheme, to be launched later this summer, will coordinate and provide construction training for jobless and underemployed people to ensure a better supply of skilled workers for new projects such as major office and city centre schemes, new schools, housing schemes and road developments across the city.

Announcing the scheme, Steve Williamson, chief executive of re'new, the main sponsor of the scheme, said: "Leeds is still booming and we want to see more Leeds people benefiting from and contributing to that success.

"Jobs and sustainable employment, underpinned by solid skills training, are fundamental to local communities and any regeneration programme.

"We recognise the skill shortage within the construction industry and by engaging with employers we will have a more coordinated approach to construction training."

Construction Leeds already has the support of key organisations across the city, including Leeds City Council, Leeds College of Building, Jobcentre Plus, Leeds Construction and Training Agency, the Construction Industry Training Board, Federation of Master Builders, Leeds YouthBuild, Leeds Learning Partnership and Leeds ALMOs, the management organisations owned by the council and responsible for all its housing stock.

Euro ambition

A WIDE-ranging document identifying the critical issues which Leeds needs to overcome to join the economic premier league of European cities, has been endorsed by council chiefs.

The council's 'Leeds Business Case' highlights issues for discussion with the government including the need for greater financial autonomy; developing better skills and employment opportunities; tackling transport problems and supporting the development of sustainable mixed communities.

The document argues the city would benefit from greater freedom to make decisions locally and should have more of a say on how national and regional budgets are allocated. New mechanisms should be explored for funding investment in transport, the public realm, and cultural attractions.

It also looks at how the broader Leeds city region can develop and collaborate to encourage investment and boost economic competitiveness.

Full consultation will be necessary with the business community, hoteliers and other partners on specific propositions and partnership arrangements, as dialogue on the Business Case moves forward with Government.

New ideas team

A NEW, unique team promoting public sector innovation and entrepreneurship is being launched by Leeds City Council.

Innovation Leeds will also build on the success of the Digital Pen and Paper initiative, where innovations developed and proven within Leeds, are made available, on a cost recovery basis, to the wider public sector community.

Ground breaking, Innovation Leeds is the first venture of this type within the public sector.

For more visit www.leeds.gov.uk from 14 June.

Take a gamble?

FROM September 2007 Leeds City Council have new responsibilities under the Gambling Act, issuing licences and permits to premises – including bookmakers, casinos, amusement arcades and bingo halls.

As part of the preparation we will shortly be releasing a draft statement of licensing policy which will be subject to a public consultation.

Visit www.leeds.gov.uk/licensing or call 0113 247 4095 for more.

Businesses join forces to beat crooks

SWIFT action awaits criminals targeting the region's shops and businesses.

Leeds City Council and representatives from 150 police and business crime partnerships nationwide met in Leeds to discuss clamping down harder on the crooks.

Leeds recently set up a new Business Crime Partnership 'BACIL' (Business Against Crime In Leeds) to help city centre shops and businesses share information about criminals.

Anne Tate, event organiser, said: "We know that sharing intelligence is both effective and successful, and we have had increasing success against prolific, persistent offenders following each meeting."

Leading lights tackle superbugs



Graeme Hall is pictured with Phil Cole

LEEDS-based Brandon Medical, the UK's only specialist medical lighting manufacturer, is claiming two world 'firsts'.

The introduction of their superbug-fighting operating theatre lights and telemedicam video system are causing a stir in

the medical world. Hardly surprising as the medical lighting manufacturers carried off top prize at the recent Medilink awards.

Managing director Graeme Hall believes the company's latest innovations will help to maintain its lead and its impressive export record. "The material used is proven to kill the SARS-CoV virus and is based on silver ions that combat other superbugs such as MRSA and e-coli," he said.

The firm's medical video provides a complete record of all theatre activities – allowing a consultant not in theatre to liaise with an operating team and providing an ideal archive record.

Brandon exports over 60 per cent of its products overseas to the Middle East, Europe, the Pacific Rim, South America, India and China.

"The success of Brandon Medical proves how adapting to modern manufacturing methods to exploit a niche market can pay great dividends," said Phil Cole, head of business support at Leeds City Council which provided the company with a grant.

Talkback
Tell us what you think
See page 6

Appendix 5

Statement of Gambling Policy Consultees List

Statement of Gambling Policy Consultees List

Contact Name	Organisation Name	coverage -	
		L=Local	R=Region
		N=National	Organisation Type
The Manager	Northern Leisure Group Ltd, LS13 4LY	L	Amusement Arcade
The Manager	Mill Hill Amusements Ltd, LS1 5DQ	L	Amusement Arcade
The Manager	J Noble & Sons Ltd, LS1 6DQ	L	Amusement Arcade
The Manager	White Cross Amusement Arcade, LS20 8NJ	L	Amusement Arcade
The Manager	Metric Gaming Ltd, LS15 8DT	L	Amusement Arcade
The Manager	Warfedale Leisure Centre, LS19 7RE	L	Amusement Arcade
The Manager	Penny Arcade, LS2 7DY	L	Amusement Arcade
The Manager	AMF Bowling Leeds, LS2 8BT	L	Amusement Arcade
The Manager	L.A Bowl, LS11 9DB	L	Amusement Arcade
The Manager	Hollywood Bowl, LS4 2DG	L	Amusement Arcade
The Manager	Pleasuretime, LS1	L	Amusement Arcade
The Manager	Quicksilver, LS2 7HZ	L	Amusement Arcade
The Manager	Amusement King, LS1 7JH	L	Amusement Arcade
The Manager	Las Vegas, LS1 7DJ	L	Amusement Arcade
The Manager	Olympia Leisure, LS1 9XX	L	Amusement Arcade
The Manager	Annetler Ltd, LS21 3HE	L	Amusement Arcade
The Manager	Luxury Leisure, LS13	L	Amusement Arcade
The Manager	Pleasure Time, LS1 6DG	L	Amusement Arcade
The Manager	Dransfield Novelty Co.Ltd, LS9 8BP	L	Amusement Machines Supplier
The Manager	Storey Group Limited, LS1 7BH	L	AWP Operator
The Manager	Queensgate Leisure Services Ltd, BD1 3FF	L	AWP Operator
The Manager	Global Gaming Corp.ltd, KA30 8BG	L	AWP Operator
The Manager	Arcadia Amusements, LS27 8DT	L	AWP Operator
Alison Morris	Gamesgrid Ltd, BH1 1JU	L	AWP Operator
The Manager	Luxury Leisure, NE32 3EG	L	AWP Operator
The Manager	Leisurama Entertainments Ltd, HU13 0RB	L	AWP Operator
The Manager	Teddy Clark Ltd, HU8 7BF	L	AWP Operator
The Manager	Metsmatics Ltd, LS1 5DQ	L	AWP Operator
The Manager	Mecca Bingo, LS15 7PE	L	Bingo Club
The Manager	The Mayfair Club, LS2 7DY	L	Bingo Club
The Manager	New Western Bingo & Social Club, LS12 3BA	L	Bingo Club
The Manager	Mecca Bingo, LS10 2HP	L	Bingo Club
The Manager	Tivoli Bingo, LS10 4LF	L	Bingo Club
The Manager	Royal Bingo & Social Club, LS19 7PP	L	Bingo Club
The Manager	William Hill, LS1 5RD	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS9 6PJ	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS5 3BH	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS25 1AA	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS11 6AW	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS1 6DL	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS15 7HS	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	Ladbrokes Betting Shops, LS14 6JD	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS6 4AY	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS8 4HS	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS10 2DJ	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS8 1AP	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	Ladbrokes Betting Shops, LS1 6AL	L	Bookmakers

Statement of Gambling Policy Consultees List

Contact Name	Organisation Name	coverage -	
		N=National	Organisation Type
The Manager	William Hill, LS17 6LD	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS8 3AY	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS6 3HG	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS4 2HU	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS10 2AR	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS11 8PN	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS11 5HZ	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	Ladbrokes Betting Shops, LS27 8DW	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS1 6PU	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS6 1PY	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS9 7ST	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS17 6DW	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS8 4LG	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS1 4DS	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS9 6QQ	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	Ladbrokes Betting Shops, LS1 6LY	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS12 1HX	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	Ladbrokes Betting Shops, LS2 7JB	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS1 5DQ	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	Ladbrokes Betting Shops, LS6 4HZ	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS9 0BA	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS6 2NY	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS2 8NJ	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS27 9EB	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS8 5RL	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS18 5LJ	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS1 7JH	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	Ladbrokes Betting Shops, LS8 3ES	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS15 8EU	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS13 4JG	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS2 8JA	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS9 6NQ	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	William Hill, LS26 0NU	L	Bookmakers
Tha Manager	Fender Racing & Sport, LS25 7AJ	L	Bookmakers
Tha Manager	William Hill, LS12 1UZ	L	Bookmakers
Tha Manager	William Hill, LS13 2BW	L	Bookmakers
Tha Manager	William Hill, LS25 7AF	L	Bookmakers
Tha Manager	William Hill, LS9 7BG	L	Bookmakers
Tha Manager	William Hill, LS11 8HD	L	Bookmakers
Tha Manager	William Hill, LS7 3RA	L	Bookmakers
Tha Manager	William Hill, 187 Chapeltown Rd	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	Peter Smith, LS11 9LH	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	Regent Racing, LS9 9JQ	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	David Hurd, LS13 2ER	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	Gale Turf Accountants, LS28 6PA	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	John Burrows Racing, LS10 4HD	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	Maurice Barton, LS23 6BH	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	Sporting Investments, LS28 6ER	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	Coral Bookmakers, LS1 6DG	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	Coral Bookmakers, LS2 7EA	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	Coral Bookmakers, LS9 7TZ	L	Bookmakers

Statement of Gambling Policy Consultees List

		coverage -	
		L=Local	
		R=Region	
		N=National	
Contact Name	Organisation Name		Organisation Type
The Manager	Coral Bookmakers, LS9 7BJ	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	Coral Bookmakers, LS10 3QJ	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	Coral Bookmakers, LS14 6ER	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	Coral Bookmakers, LS15 8QR	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	Coral Bookmakers, LS18 4QD	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	Coral Bookmakers, LS16 7SR	L	Bookmakers
The Manager	Coral Bookmakers, LS27 9EB	L	Bookmakers
Ian Williams	Leeds Chamber of Commerce & Industry	L	Business support organisation
The Manager	Grosvenor Casino, LS17 6QD	L	Casino
The Manager	Gala Westgate Casino, LS3 1LW	L	Casino
The Manager	Grosvenor Casino, LS2 8PD	L	Casino
The Manager	City Centre CAB	L	Citizens Advice Bureau
The Manager	Crossgates CAB	L	Citizens Advice Bureau
The Manager	Garforth CAB	L	Citizens Advice Bureau
The Manager	Morley CAB	L	Citizens Advice Bureau
The Manager	Otley CAB	L	Citizens Advice Bureau
The Manager	Pudsey CAB	L	Citizens Advice Bureau
John Wright	Yorkshire Culture	R	Consortium
Mr Byron Evans	RAL Limited, MK9 2AF	L	Entertainment Company
Inderjit Singh Bhogal (Director)	The Yorkshire Humber Faiths Forum	R	Faith Group
Robert Beard (Policy Officer),	The Churches Regional Commission for Yorkshire and the Humber	R	Faith Group
Reverend Kathryn Fitzsimons	Urban Ministry	L	Faith Group
All 99 Leeds City Council Elected Members	Leeds City Council	L	Local Government
The Right Honourable John Battle MP for Leeds West	Leeds West MP	L	Member of Parliament
The Right Honourable Hilary Benn MP for Leeds Central	Leeds Central MP	L	Member of Parliament
Greg Mulholland MP for Leeds North West	Leeds North West MP	L	Member of Parliament
Colin Burgon MP for Elmet	MP for Elmet	L	Member of Parliament
Colin Challen MP for Morley and Rothwell	MP for Morley and Rothwell	L	Member of Parliament
Fabian Hamilton MP for Leeds North East	MP for Leeds North East	L	Member of Parliament
George Mudie MP for Leeds East	MP for Leeds East	L	Member of Parliament
Paul Truswell MP for Pudsey	MP for Pudsey	L	Member of Parliament
Miss Caroline Waites	Arthington Parish/Town Council	L	Parish/Town Council
Mrs S Reed	Bramhope & Carlton Parish/Town Council	L	Parish/Town Council
Mrs E M Swidt	Pool Parish/Town Council	L	Parish/Town Council
Mrs J Winn	Great & Little Preston Parish/Town Council	L	Parish/Town Council
Mr B Caulfield	Swillington Parish/Town Council	L	Parish/Town Council
Mrs R Reed	Aberford & District Parish/Town Council	L	Parish/Town Council

Statement of Gambling Policy Consultees List

Contact Name	Organisation Name	coverage -	
		L=Local R=Region N=National	Organisation Type
Mrs P G Gallant	Barsey cum Rigton Parish/Town Council	L	Parish/Town Council
Mrs Mary Teal	Barwick in Elmet and Scholes Parish/Town Council	L	Parish/Town Council
Mr G Robins	Collingham with Linton Parish/Town Council	L	Parish/Town Council
Mrs P J Gallant	East Keswick Parish/Town Council	L	Parish/Town Council
Mr T M Wadsworth	Harewood Parish/Town Council	L	Parish/Town Council
Mr P R Hart	Scarcroft Parish/Town Council	L	Parish/Town Council
Ms K Faherty	Shadwell Parish/Town Council	L	Parish/Town Council
Mr Steven Wood	Thorner Parish/Town Council	L	Parish/Town Council
Mr K A Donnelly	Horsforth Town Council	L	Parish/Town Council
Mr David Hagen	Allerton Bywater Parish/Town Council	L	Parish/Town Council
Mr Colin Child	Kippax Parish/Town Council	L	Parish/Town Council
Mr B Bennett	Ledsham Parish/Town Council	L	Parish/Town Council
Ms Michelle Crowther	Ledston Parish/Town Council	L	Parish/Town Council
Mrs Joanne Hebden	Micklefield Parish/Town Council	L	Parish/Town Council
Mr Derek Lacey	Drighlington Parish/Town Council	L	Parish/Town Council
Ms Sheila Leeman	Gildersome Parish/Town Council	L	Parish/Town Council
Ms Karen Barrett	Morley Town Council	L	Parish/Town Council
Mr Iain Plumtree	Otley & Yeadon Parish/Town Council	L	Parish/Town Council
Mrs Katherine Wilkinson	Boston Spa Parish/Town Council	L	Parish/Town Council
Mr Colin Pool	Bramham cum Oglethorpe Parish/Town Council	L	Parish/Town Council
Mr A C Pennington	Clifford Parish/Town Council	L	Parish/Town Council
Mrs S M Kitson	Thorp Arch Parish/Town Council	L	Parish/Town Council
Mrs G Bartle	Walton Parish/Town Council	L	Parish/Town Council
Ms B Ball	Wetherby Town Council	L	Parish/Town Council
Thea Stein (Chief Executive)	Leed North East PCT	L	Primary Care Trust
Lesley Smith (Chief Executive)	Leeds North West PCT	L	Primary Care Trust
Chris Reid (Chief Executive)	Leeds West PCT	L	Primary Care Trust
Liam Hughes (Chief Executive)	Leeds East PCT	L	Primary Care Trust
Dr George McIntyre (Chief Executive)	Leeds South PCT	L	Primary Care Trust
Don Stewart	Yorkshire Forward	R	Regional Development Agency
Mr Adam Turner	Pool Sports & Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Geoffrey Moore	Adel Sports & Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr John R Burns	Headingley Golf Club Ltd	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Melvyn Reuben	New Rover Cricket Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Robert Martin Storey	West Park Bramhope RUFC	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Ronald A Mackenzie	Cookridge Cricket Club	L	Registered Members Club
Ms Tracy Brady	Old Modernians Association	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Andrew Stephen Webb	Moortown Rugby Union Football Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr David Atkins	Alwoodley Community Association Social Club Ltd	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Iain Kerr	Sand Moor Golf Club Limited	L	Registered Members Club
Mr John Lawrence Hall	Moortown Golf Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Mike Haslam	Alwoodley Cricket Club	L	Registered Members Club

Statement of Gambling Policy Consultees List

Contact Name	Organisation Name	coverage - L=Local R=Region N=National	Organisation Type
Mr Nigel P Dickson	Alwoodley Golf Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mrs Pam Maude	Old Leodiensian Community Amateur Sports Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr H Horner	Winthorpe Residents Association	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Julian P Smith	East Ardsley United Cricket & Athletic Club	L	Registered Members Club
Ms Beverley Kane	East & West Ardsley Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Ms Phyllis Jowett	East Ardsley General Working Mens Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Alan Geoffrey Ashton	Armley Liberal Club Ltd	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Brian Joseph Bond	Gotts Park Golf Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr David Cowling	West Leeds Railwaymens Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Michael Wokes	Armley Conservative Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Peter Askin	Bramley Band Working Mens Club & Institute	L	Registered Members Club
Mrs Dorothy Brabiner	New Wortley Labour Rep Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mrs Patricia M Pilkington	St Bartholomews Parish Centre Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Ms Dorothy Brabiner	New Wortley Labour Representation Club	L	Registered Members Club
Ms Margaret Ruecroft	Denison Hall Club And Institute	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Brian Cawerley	Hunslet Nelson Cricket Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Leslie Butterwick	Holbeck WMC	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Peter Watson	Dewsbury Road Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Peter Watson	Dewsbury Road Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Terence David Coffey	Beeston Hill Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mrs C.A Burnell	Beeston Parish Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Ms Delma Dixon	Cross Flatts Recreation Club	L	Registered Members Club
Ms Lorraine Holladay	Holbeck Bowling Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Brian Mulligan	Bramley Phoenix R F C	L	Registered Members Club
Mr David Parker	Stanningley Sports And Amatuer Rugby League Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr J D Cox	Bramley Parish Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Keith Farrally	Bramley Working Mens Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Kieth Woodhead	Bramley Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Ms Anne Doran	Rodley Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Paul Williamson	Torre Road Social & Welfare Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Peter Dawson	Edmund House Club & Institute Limited	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Tom Owen	Leeds & District Amalgamated Society Of Anglers	L	Registered Members Club
Mrs W H Olbison	East Leeds Cricket And Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Ms Bernadett Colley	Yorkshire Rider Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Ms Janet Roan	Leeds District Free Gardeners Club	L	Registered Members Club
Ms Marjory Palfreyman	North Leeds Working Mens Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Barry McCourt	Woodhall Hills Golf Club Ltd	L	Registered Members Club
Mr David Lawrence Alred	Calverley St. Wilfrid's Cricket Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr G Petty	Farsley Cricket Bowling & Hockey Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Philip Paul Rothera	Farsley Conservative Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Roland Maurice Ian Butler	Calverley Conservative Club	L	Registered Members Club

Statement of Gambling Policy Consultees List

		coverage -	
		L=Local	
		R=Region	
		N=National	
Contact Name	Organisation Name		Organisation Type
Mr Brian Jackson	Woodhouse Cricket Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Ivars Muravskis	Latvian Welfare Fund Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Karl Blackburn	Yorkshire Amateur Association Football Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Malcolm Robertshaw	Chapel Allerton Club Ltd	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Nigel Stallworthy	Chapel Allerton Lawn Tennis & Squash Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mrs Sharron Smithen	Carribbean Cricket Club	L	Registered Members Club
Miss Sharon Burke	Hunslet Green Community Sports Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr A Person	Testing Record	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Garry Haigh	Peggy Tub Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Gordon Williamson	St Joseph's Catholic Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Gordon Williamson	St. Joseph's Catholic Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Paul Chatterton	Leeds Social Centre	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Paul Dowdican	Leeds Deaf Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Peter Blimston	HASSRA Club	L	Registered Members Club
Ms Irene Liversidge	Plaza Club	L	Registered Members Club
Ms Sandra Padget	Hunslet Carr Sports And Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Ms Suzi Armitage	Leeds City Council Members Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr A Tate	Crossgates & District Recreation Hall Ltd	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Colin Whittaker	Barnbow Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Eric Chadderton	Amaranth Cricket Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr James Walker	St Gregorys Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Ms Denise Burland	Wellington Hill Recreational Club	L	Registered Members Club
I Webster	West Leeds High School Old Boys Society	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Ian Webster	West Leeds High School Old Boys Society	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Michael David Lawson	Mainline Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr P Holdsworth	Mainline Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Steve Dalby	New Farnley Cricket Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mrs Josephine Frances Tearle	New Farnley Community Association	L	Registered Members Club
Ms Sharon Stephenson	Upper & Lower Wortley Liberal Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Alan Matthews	Swillington Miners Welfare Scheme	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Colin Frank Goldthorpe	Great Preston Miners Welfare Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Ian Edward Thornhill	Garforth Country Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Neil Thorp	Garforth Liberal Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Trevor Thompson	Oulton & Woodlesford Sports & Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mrs Samantha J Dickinson	Garforth Cricket & Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr David Ruddock	Harehills Conservative Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Thomas Edward Baxter	Gipton Working Men's Club	L	Registered Members Club
Ms Debra Buck	United Services Club	L	Registered Members Club
Miss Angela Anderson	Yeadon RAFA Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Andy Evans	Green Lane Cricket Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Jeremy Milner Lester	Hawkhill Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Paul Kennedy	Guiseley Factory Workers Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr R L Sissons	Guiseley Bowling Club Ltd	L	Registered Members Club

Statement of Gambling Policy Consultees List

		coverage -	
		L=Local	
		R=Region	
		N=National	
Contact Name	Organisation Name		Organisation Type
Mr Tom Booth	High Royds Sports & Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Trevor Eagle	Bradford Golf Club Ltd	L	Registered Members Club
Mrs Judith Thackray	Rawdon Cricket Club	L	Registered Members Club
Ms Anne Celia Midgley	Guiseley Liberal Club	L	Registered Members Club
Ms Michelle Durkin	Guiseley Cricket Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr A. N. Harris MInstGCM	Wetherby Golf Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Darren Tear	Scarcroft Golf Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Darren Tear	Scarcroft Golf Club Limited	L	Registered Members Club
Mr James Denton	Moor Allerton Golf Club Ltd	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Keith David Leckenby	Barwick In Elmet Cricket Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Neil G Douglas	Garforth Golf Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Neil G Douglas	Garforth Golf Club Ltd	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Nigel Cooke	Collingham And Linton Sports Association	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Norman Overfield	Bardsey Sports Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Paul Richardson	Harewood Sports & Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Robert Smith	Brudenell Social & Recreational Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mrs Hazel Wright	Our Lady Of Lourdes Parish Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr David Brown	Hall Park Cricket Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Duncan Burton	Horsforth Conservative Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Ian Scott	Horsforth Cricket Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr John Peter Lawson	Rawdon Golf & Lawn Tennis Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Paul Trigg	Yarnbury (Horsforth) Rugby Football Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mrs Jennifer Miller	Rawdon Conservative Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mrs Lesley Harrison	Horsforth Golf Club Ltd	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Paul Roudhill	Jubilee Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mrs Ann Geldard	North West Ward Liberal Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Timothy Byrne	Seacroft Working Mens Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr William Askin	Seacroft Village Hall Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Alan Sykes	Methley Ex-Servicemen's Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Anthony Booth	Kippax Central WMC	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Brian Fielding	Kippax Ex Service & Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Brian Fielding	Kippax Ex-Service & Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr David Arthur Clegg	Methley Cricket Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Gary Webster	Garforth Town Football & Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Trevor Bowen	Kippax Band Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Ms Glenys Elizabeth Varley	Kippax Welfare Sports & Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Ms Susan McLachlan	Methley Working Men's Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Barry Riley	Hawkswood Conservative Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Duncan Eley	Burley RUFC	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Malcolm Joseph Nicholson	New Burley Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Margaret Dean	Assumption Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Michael George Whiteside	Milford R L Sports Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Paul Irwin	Kirkstall Educational Cricket Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Thomas Connors	Queenswood Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Ms Carolyn Bellfield	Leeds Postal Sports Association	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Allan Collinson	Middleton St. Mary's Social Club	L	Registered Members Club

Statement of Gambling Policy Consultees List

Contact Name	Organisation Name	coverage -	
		L=Local R=Region N=National	Organisation Type
Mr Andrew Parker	Leeds Corinthians Rugby Football Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Brian Clayton	South Leeds Golf Club Ltd	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Brian Wells	Middleton Social & Welfare Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Ian Priestley	Middleton (Leeds) Conservative Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Peter Watts	Middleton Park Municipal Golfers Club	L	Registered Members Club
Ms Maureen Carter	Cranmore & Raylands Community Centre	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Allan Maurice Hainsworth	Corner House Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Paul Trigg	Immaculate Heart Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Semeon John Saffman	Moor Allerton Sports & Social Centre	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Stafford Smart	The Roundhegians Sports Association	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Alan Wood	Churwell Working Men's Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Andrew Geldard	Driglington Amateur Rugby League Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr D J Fielding	Gildersome Taverners & Cricket Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Jack Langley	Cross Church Street W.M.C Institute	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Richard Ian Lindley	Adwalton Cricket Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Shane Kao	Tempest Constitutional Club	L	Registered Members Club
Ms Paula Dixon	Drighlington Cricket Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Alan Jackson	Morley Cricket & Sports Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Bernard Cooper	St Francis Of Assisi Community Centre	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Brian Michael Skinn	Morley United Services Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr D.R. Elam	Morley Rugby Football Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr David Jones	Howley Hall Golf Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Michael Webster	Morley Mercantile Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Nigel Bradley	Bruntcliffe Working Men's Club & Institute	L	Registered Members Club
Mr P Ineson	Ackroyd Street Working Men's Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Peter Thomas Durkin	Morley Masonic Hall	L	Registered Members Club
Mrs Margaret Anne Hewitt	Tingley Working Mens Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Andy Rayner	Old Othliensians RUFC Ltd	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Anthony Lazarus	Otley Cricket Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Anthony Walton	Grove Hill Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr David Smith	Royal Wharfedale Masonic Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Geoff Sutcliffe	Yeadon Cricket Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr George Harold Giks	Falcon Sports & Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Keith Urquhart	Otley Town Sports And Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Marc Lawrence	Otley Rugby Union Football Club Ltd	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Peter Jonathan Clarke	Otley Golf Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Ronald William Dawson	Royal Antideluvian Order Of Buffalos Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Stephen Ainley	Rufford Park Bowling Club	L	Registered Members Club
Ms Ann Whitehead	Clitherow Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Ms Mandy Ann Cater	Otley Social Working Mens Club & Institute	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Brian Leonard	Troydale Recreational Club	L	Registered Members Club

Statement of Gambling Policy Consultees List

		coverage -	
		L=Local	
		R=Region	
		N=National	
Contact Name	Organisation Name		Organisation Type
Mr David Francis Hook	Pudsey Masonic Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr John Allan	Fulneck Golf Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Keith Norman Watson	Tyersal Residents Association Community Centre	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Kenneth D'Alby	Pudsey Conservative Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Stephen Raistrick	Pudsey Congs Cricket Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Tony Moore	Pudsey St. Lawrence Cricket Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mrs Elizabeth Phizackerly- Sugden	Pudsey Royal British Legion Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mrs Sandra Rider	Pudsey Bowling And Table Tennis Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Alan Hedley	Rothwell Bowling Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Christopher David Stacey	Carlton Cricket Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr R D Hazel	Rothwell Labour Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Richard Green	Rothwell Athletic & Cricket Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Stephen Kearnan	Carlton Working Mens Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Brian Stevens	North Leeds Cricket Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr George Anthony Grimes	Parochial & Home Guard Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Kevin John Collier	Adrian Social Club & Institute	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Mike Bidgood	Leeds Rugby Union Football Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Robert McLauchlan	Roundhay Golf Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Stephen John Clarkson	Leeds Golf Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr David Ryder	Colton Institute Sports & Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Michael John Harrison	Corpus Christi Catholic Mens Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Paul Harland	Chapel Street Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Ms Christine Wood	Templenewsam Golf Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr David Nigel Stobbs	Ireland Wood Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Donald Keighley	Meanwood Conservative Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Geoffrey Smith	Lawnswood Red Triangle Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Michael Paul Irving	Leeds Metropolitan University Students Union	L	Registered Members Club
Bramham & Clifford Cricket Club	Bramham & Clifford Cricket Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Anthony Waterhouse	Grange Park Sports Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr John Toes	Walton Cricket Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Paul Quartemaine	Wealstun Prison Officers Staff Club	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Peter Hugh Jesty	Wetherby Masonic Institute	L	Registered Members Club
Mr Robin Johnston	Wetherby Sports Association	L	Registered Members Club
Ms Krystyna Clack	British Library Sports & Social Club	L	Registered Members Club
Paul Bellringer (Chairman)	The Society for the Study of Gambling	N	Research Agency
Mr Robert Patterson	West Yorkshire Police	L	Responsible Authority
Ruth Lees	Leeds City Council - Environmental Health Services	L	Responsible Authority
Sue Rossiter	Gambling Commission	N	Responsible Authority
Mr Mick Waters	West Yorkshire Fire and Rescue Service	L	Responsible Authority
Rosemary Archer (Director Of Children's Services)	Local Safeguarding Children Board	L	Responsible Authority
Susan Wraith	Leeds City Council - Development Department	L	Responsible Authority

Statement of Gambling Policy Consultees List

		coverage -	
		L=Local	
		R=Region	
		N=National	
Contact Name	Organisation Name		Organisation Type
National Secretary	Gamblers anonymous	N	Support organisation
Head of Policy	GamCare	N	Support organisation
Head of Policy	Gordon House Association	N	Support organisation
Robin Burgess	Responsibility in Gambling Trust	N	Support organisation
The Manager	Leeds Addiction Unit	L	Support organisation
Head of Policy	BACTA (British Amusement Catering Trade Association)	N	Trade Association
Head of Policy	British Holiday & Home Parks Association	N	Trade Association
Ms Penelope Viscountess Cobham	British Casino Association	N	Trade Association
Caroline Davies(Racecourse Services Executive)	Racecourse Association Limited	N	Trade Association
Head of Policy	British Horseracing Board	N	Trade Association
Head of Policy	The Bingo Association	N	Trade Association
Ms Angela Ruggeri	Association of British Bookmakers	N	Trade Association
Director	British Beer and Pub Association	N	Trade Association
Head of Strategy and Policy	Northern Bookmakers' Protection Association Ltd	N	Trade Union